STAT G8325 Gaussian Processes and Kernel Methods Lecture Notes §06: Speed and Scaling Part 2

John P. Cunningham

Department of Statistics Columbia University

Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

Week	Lectures	Content
5	Oct 12,14	Speed and scaling part 1: reduced-rank processes
6	Oct 19, 21	Speed and scaling part 2: special structure • [GSC15]; [RW06, ch 4.3.2]
7	Oct 21, 26	Bayesian optimization and active learning • [SI A12]· [GSW ⁺ 15]· [HHGI 11]
8		Special GP topics: dynamical systems, quadrature, ode solvers, etc.

- ▶ I have met or scheduled with almost everyone.
- If we are not scheduled, email me immediately after class today.
- ▶ HW3 to be posted, but will be outlining your project.

Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

Fundamental fact about nonparametric techniques

- ► The number of parameters grows with the amount of data.
- In gp (and mostly in kernel methods):

$$y^*|y \sim \mathcal{N}\left(K_{y^*y}K_{yy}^{-1}(y-m_y) \ , \ K_{y^*y^*} - K_{y^*y}K_{yy}^{-1}K_{y^*y}^{\top}\right)$$

- Storing and inverting $K_{yy} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ costs $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ and $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.
- Practically speaking, these operations become impossible fast:



Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

Solving linear systems

▶ GP inference boils down to solving a few linear systems in $K_{yy} \in \mathbb{S}_{++}$:

$$y^* | y \sim \mathcal{N} \left(K_{y^* y} K_{yy}^{-1} y \ , \ K_{y^* y^*} - K_{y^* y} K_{yy}^{-1} K_{y^* y}^\top \right)$$

- There are four ways to solve $K^{-1}y$ (equivalently, $K^{-1}k(X, x^*)$):
 - ▶ invert K and store $K^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n^3)$... almost always the wrong choice.
 - directly factorize full K (e.g., cholesky) and solve $ightarrow \mathcal{O}(n^3)$
 - (directly factorize if K sparse and solve $\rightarrow < \mathcal{O}(n^3)$)
 - iteratively solve if K has special structure $\rightarrow \ll \mathcal{O}(n^3)$.
- Iterative solutions typically rely on the conjugate gradients method.
- Essential idea: Note that $K^{-1}y$ is the solution to:

$$\arg\min_{z} \phi(z) = \frac{1}{2} z^{\top} K z - y^{\top} z + w.$$

- with gradient $\nabla_z \phi = Kz y = 0 \rightarrow z = K^{-1}y$.
- Gradient steps $(z^{(r+1)} = z^{(r)} \alpha^{(r)} \nabla_z \phi)$ involve *forward* multiplications Kz.

Conjugate gradients

- Gradient descent is very slow.
- ▶ Newton's method is fast but requires Hessian inversion.

Why is Newton's nonsensical here?

• Conjugate gradient takes better gradient steps in ϕ by noticing:

$$\begin{split} \phi(z) - \phi(z^*) &= \frac{1}{2} z^\top K z - y^\top z + w - \frac{1}{2} z^{*\top} K z^* + y^\top z^* - w \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (z - z^*)^\top K (z - z^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ||z - z^*||_K^2, \end{split}$$

...second line follows from $y = Kz^*$.

- and thus takes "conjugate" gradient steps in this Mahalanobis distance.
- Further details are rather dense, but what results is a black box...

Conjugate gradients

Algorithm 1 The celebrated conjugate gradients (simplest form)

1: Input: $K \succ 0, y$, initial value z 2: r = y - Kz3: v = r4: $\delta_{new} = r^{\top}r$ 5: while not converged 6: q = Kv7: $\alpha = \frac{\delta_{new}}{v^{\top}a}$ 8: $z = \hat{z} + \alpha v$ 9: $r = r - \alpha q$ 10: $\delta_{old} = \delta_{new}$ 11: $\delta_{new} = r^{\top}r$ 12: $v = r + \frac{\delta_{new}}{\delta_{new}}v$

...from [She94].

• Very important: only *forward* multiplications q = Kv!

Conjugate gradients

- Very important: only *forward* multiplications q = Kv!
- ▶ In theory this converges in n steps for $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathcal{O}(n^3)$
- However, CG often converges in much fewer than n steps:
 - condition number of matrix $\kappa(K)$,
 - number of distinct eigenvalues (or clustered),
 - tolerance of convergence.
- More important: if K has special structure such that products Kv are fast...
- \blacktriangleright Then total cost of $K^{-1}y$ can be $\mathcal{O}(n^2), \mathcal{O}(n\log n),$
- ▶ CG is one fundamental approach to scaling gp with special structure.

Why?

Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

Common special structures that exist

- Generally, special structure in K can take on many forms:
 - sparse ...random sparsity is very uncommon in kernel methods
 - banded ...think triangle kernel (not square)
 - Iow rank plus diagonalthink degenerate kernels
 - RBF ...surprisingly enough
 - Toeplitz ...think evenly spaced inputs
- ▶ Note: these are kernel choices and/or input point choices.
- Then, the actual cost of a forward multiply Kv is:
 - (sparse: $\#\{(i,j): K_{ij} \neq 0\}$)
 - banded: $\mathcal{O}(nk)$
 - low rank plus diagonal: O(nm) (cf. inducing points!)
 - RBF: (complicated, uses multipole methods)
 - Toeplitz ...let's discuss this very common case
- CG is general but sometimes overkill.

...e.g. rank one plus diagonal \rightarrow Woodbury formula is easier.

Toeplitz matrices

• Toeplitz \rightarrow hugely important and well studied matrices of the form:

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k(0) & k(-1) & k(-2) & \dots & k(-(n-1)) \\ k(1) & k(0) & k(-1) & & k(-(n-2)) \\ k(2) & k(1) & k(0) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ k(n-1) & & & & k(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Colloquially, Toeplitz matrices are striped (equal along diagonals).
- ▶ What would a gp need to have a Toeplitz K?
- ...a stationary kernel k, and evenly spaced input points $x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ Is this limited? ...yes, but time series with uniform measurements abound.

Exploiting Toeplitz structure

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k(0) & k(-1) & k(-2) & \dots & k(-(n-1)) \\ k(1) & k(0) & k(-1) & & k(-(n-2)) \\ k(2) & k(1) & k(0) & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ k(n-1) & & & & k(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Note K has only $\mathcal{O}(n)$ distinct elements \rightarrow storage $\ll \mathcal{O}(n^2)$.
- ▶ By symmetry we know $K_{ij} = k(i-j) = k(j-i) = K_{ji}$, so we have a vector $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that:

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k_0 & k_1 & k_2 & \dots & k_{n-1} \\ k_1 & k_0 & k_1 & & k_{n-2} \\ k_2 & k_1 & k_0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ k_{n-1} & & & & k_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

But what about runtime?

Exploiting Toeplitz structure

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k_0 & k_1 & k_2 & \dots & k_{n-1} \\ k_1 & k_0 & k_1 & & k_{n-2} \\ k_2 & k_1 & k_0 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ k_{n-1} & & & & k_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

▶ Notice *K* above looks almost like a (discrete) convolution:

$$(c * v)_j = \sum_{i=-n}^n c_{j-i} v_i$$

- ▶ But without the wraparound... $K_{i,1} \neq K_{i-1,n-1}$, aka $k_{n-i} \neq k_i$.
- ▶ Idea: embed K into a circulant matrix C...

Exploiting Toeplitz structure

▶ Idea: embed K into a circulant matrix C...

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 & \mathsf{flip}(K) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathsf{flip}(K) & 0 & K \end{bmatrix},$$

▶ where C is determined by the first row:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & 0 & \mathsf{flip}(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_0 & k_1 & \dots & k_{n-1} & \dots & 0 & \dots & k_{n-1} & \dots & k_2 & k_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

•
$$C \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n-1) \times (2n-1)}$$
 is a *circulant* matrix.

Now we do have a discrete convolution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Kv\\ (\mathsf{junk}) \end{bmatrix} = C \begin{bmatrix} v\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Cv' = (c*v')_j = \sum_{i=-n}^n c_{j-i}v'_i.$$

- ▶ Thus, Kv = the first n elements of $Cv' = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{F}(c) \cdot \mathcal{F}(v') \right)$.
- ...which is $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time, by using the fast fourier transform!
- In practice clever zero padding (0 above) can greatly improve the scaling.

Exploiting Toeplitz structure... popping back up the stack

- CG turns $K^{-1}y$ into an often small number of products Kv (say m of them).
- ▶ Toeplitz structure reduces the inherent complexity of *K* to a vector *k*.
- Embedding K into a circulant matrix C makes Kv (part of) a convolution.
- The fft accomplishes this product in $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time.
- Result:
 - Storage complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^2) \to \mathcal{O}(n)$.
 - Runtime complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^3) \to \mathcal{O}(mn \log n)$.
- ▶ Note: this method is **exact** (cf §05)... and widely used [CSS08].

Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

GP in multiple dimensions

Recall multidimensional gp and their common kernels:

$$k = \sigma_f^2 \exp\left\{-\sum_{d=1}^D \frac{1}{2\ell_d^2} (t_i^d - t_j^d)^2\right\}$$



• Notice this is a product structure $k = \prod_d k_d$.

Implied Kronecker structure

▶ When all points X lie on a grid, product kernels factorize:

$$k = \prod_{d} k_d \quad \leftrightarrow \quad K = K_1 \otimes K_2 \otimes \dots \otimes K_D \quad \leftrightarrow \quad K = \otimes_d K_d.$$

- Say each dimension of the grid has $m = n^{\frac{1}{D}}$ points.
- Then $n = 10^6$ points is only three matrices $K_d \in \mathbb{R}^{100 \times 100}$.
- So what? (aka some facts about Kronecker matrices):
 - $K^{-1} = \otimes_d K_d^{-1}$. • $K = Q\Lambda Q^\top \leftrightarrow Q = \otimes_d Q_d$ (and same for Λ).
 - and others...

Implied Kronecker structure

Most importantly (the "Kronecker trick"):

$$\begin{split} Kv &= \left(\otimes_{d} K_{d} \right) v \\ &= \operatorname{vec} \left(K_{D} V \left(\otimes_{d=1}^{D-1} K_{d} \right)^{\top} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{vec} \left(\left[K_{1} \dots \left[A_{D-1} \left[K_{D} B V \right]^{\top} \right]^{\top} \right]^{\top} \right) \end{split}$$

▶ Here
$$V \in \mathbb{R}^{n^{\frac{1}{D} \times n^{D-1}D}}$$
 is such that $v = \operatorname{vec}(V)$,

• ...and
$$[KV]^{\top} = \text{reshape}\left((KV)^{\top}\right)$$
.

- Result:
 - Storage complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^2) \to \mathcal{O}(Dn^2D)$.
 - Runtime complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n^3) \to \mathcal{O}(mn^{D+1}D)$.
- Again this method is exact! (cf §05)

Empirical results

- Reminder: gridded data are not unusual (images, movies...)
- Empirical results of this grid kronecker method:



▶ Note some additional fun is had for an incomplete grid; see [GSC15].

Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

Some things we know

Many of us will have seen discrete time linear dynamical systems:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_{t+1} &=& Af_t + \xi_t & \quad \text{where} & f_t \in \mathbb{R}^p \ , \ \xi_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Xi). \\ y_t &=& Cf_t + \epsilon_t & \quad \text{where} & y_t \in \mathbb{R}^q \ , \ \epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Psi). \end{array}$$

- ▶ for t = 1, ..., n. To make this feel like a gp, set p = q = 1, C = I.
- The point: this has simplifying Markov structure.
- ▶ Kalman filter (message passing, etc.) infers p(f|y) in $O(n) \ll O(n^3)$.
- ...but this model is all jointly linear gaussian, so it is (almost) a gp.
- The continous time (one-dimensional) case:

$$\frac{df(t)}{dt} = \alpha f_t + \epsilon_t.$$

This is a gp...

Derivatives of gp

- ▶ Differentiation is a linear operator: $\frac{d}{dt}(af(t) + bg(t)) = a\frac{d}{dt}f(t) + b\frac{d}{dt}g(t)$.
- ▶ Thus $f'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}f(t)$ is also gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{d^2}{dt^2}k(t, t))$, leading to:

$$E\left(f'f'^{\top}\right) = E\left(\frac{d}{dt}f\left(\frac{d}{dt}f\right)^{\top}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}K\frac{d}{dt}^{\top}$$

• Thus f' is also a gp, and is jointly gaussian with f. Consider SE kernel:



Gauss-markov processes

Using this joint gaussianity, we return to our lds:

$$\frac{lf(t)}{dt} = \alpha f_t + \epsilon_t.$$

 \blacktriangleright or more generally, the m^{th} order gauss-markov process:

$$\frac{d^m f(t)}{dt^m} + \alpha_{m-1} \frac{d^{m-1} f(t)}{dt^{m-1}} + \ldots + \alpha_1 \frac{df(t)}{dt} + \alpha_0 f_t = \epsilon_t.$$

- Here ϵ_t is a white noise gp (i.e. $k_{\epsilon} = \epsilon \delta(t_i, t_j)$).
- Taking the fourier transform:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k \frac{d^k f(t)}{dt^k} = \epsilon_t. \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (2\pi i \omega)^k F(\omega) = \epsilon_t.$$

▶ Thus, *f* has with fourier transform:

$$F(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (2\pi i\omega)^k}$$

Gauss-markov processes

▶ Thus, *f* has with fourier transform:

$$F(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (2\pi i\omega)^k}$$

> Put differently, the draw f(t) is now a filtered white noise draw:

$$f(t) = \epsilon(t) * h(t) \qquad \text{where} \qquad h(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (2\pi i \omega)^k}\right)(t),$$

• which implies that $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(0,k)$, with:

$$k(\tau) = h(\tau) * k_{\epsilon}(\tau) * h(-\tau) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon}{\left|\sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k (2\pi i \omega)^k\right|^2}$$

- Thus, any kernel with $S(\omega)$ (above) corresponds to a markov gp!
- ▶ So what: we can use message passing to infer p(f|y) in linear time...

Generality of gauss-markov processes

Remember Matérn kernels:

$$k(r) = \sigma_f^2 \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu}r}{\ell}\right)^{\nu} B_{\nu} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu}r}{\ell}\right)$$

▶ $\nu, \ell > 0$, modified Bessel function B_{ν} .



The spectral density of a Matérn kernel:

$$S(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_f^2 2 \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) (2\nu)^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu) \ell^{2\nu}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\nu}{\ell^2} + \omega^2\right)^{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

• which is a constant times an inverse squared polynomial \rightarrow a gmp!

Generality of gauss-markov processes

The spectral density of a Matérn kernel:

$$\begin{split} S(\omega) &= \frac{\sigma_f^2 2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})(2\nu)^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)\ell^{2\nu}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\nu}{\ell^2} + \omega^2\right)^{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \epsilon \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\ell} + i\omega\right)^{-(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\ell} - i\omega\right)^{-(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}} \\ &= \epsilon \frac{1}{H(\omega)H(\omega)^*} \end{split}$$

• from this for of $H(\omega)$ one can extract the values α_k in the original gmp.

 $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{O}(n) \text{ approximations result from } m^{\text{th}} \text{ order gmp approximation kernels...} \\ \text{more on this, and a SE example in [Saa12].}$

Empirical results

• Empirical results of this gmp approximation method:



▶ Note some extra steps with backfitting for additive kernels; see [GSC15].

Administrative interlude

Practical realities of kernel methods

Reminder: conjugate gradients

Generic special structures

Kronecker structures in multiple dimensions [GSC15]

Markov structured gp and SDEs

Model selection

• Note we have exclusively focused on $K^{-1}y$:

$$y^* | y \sim \mathcal{N} \left(K_{y^* y} K_{yy}^{-1} (y - m_y) \ , \ K_{y^* y^*} - K_{y^* y} K_{yy}^{-1} K_{y^* y}^\top \right)$$

What about model selection? Recall:

$$\log(p(y|\theta)) = -\frac{1}{2}(y-m)^{\top}K_{\theta}^{-1}(y-m) - \frac{1}{2}\log|K_{\theta}| - \frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi).$$

- We haven't dealt with $\frac{1}{2}\log|K_{\theta}|$ (nor its gradients).
- Many interesting log determinant approximations. See [GSC15].
- (also an interesting project; see project idea sheet for further references).

References

[CSS08]	John P Cunningham, Krishna V Shenoy, and Maneesh Sahani. Fast gaussian process methods for point process intensity estimation. In Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning, pages 192–199. ACM, 2008.		
[GSC15]	Elad Gilboa, Yunus Saatçi, and John P Cunningham. Scaling multidimensional inference for structured gaussian processes. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 37(2):424–436, 2015.		
[GSW ⁺ 15]	Jacob R Gardner, Xinyu Song, Kilian Q Weinberger, Dennis Barbour, and John P Cunningham. Psychophysical detection testing with bayesian active learning. UAI, 2015.		
[HHGL11]	Neil Houlsby, Ferenc Huszár, Zoubin Ghahramani, and Máté Lengyel. Bayesian active learning for classification and preference learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1112.5745, 2011.		
[RW06]	C. E. Rasmussen and C.K.I. Williams. Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning. MIT Press, Cambridge, 2006.		
[Saa12]	Yunus Saatçi. <i>Scalable inference for structured Gaussian process models.</i> PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 2012.		
[She94]	Jonathan Richard Shewchuk. An introduction to the conjugate gradient method without the agonizing pain, 1994.		
[SLA12]	Jasper Snoek, Hugo Larochelle, and Ryan P Adams. Practical bayesian optimization of machine learning algorithms. In Advances in neural information processing systems, pages 2951–2959, 2012.		
[SMSL ⁺ 03]	Ercan Solak, Roderick Murray-Smith, William E Leithead, Douglas J Leith, and Carl Edward Rasmussen. Derivative observations in gaussian process models of dynamic systems. 2003.		