# Bayesian Nonparametrics 

Part II

Peter Orbanz

## OvERVIEW

1. Constructing nonparametric Bayesian models

- Hierarchical and dependent models
- Representations
- Exchangeability

2. Asymptotics

NEW MODELS FROM OLD ONES

## Hierarchical Models

Apply Bayesian representation recursively

$$
\Theta \quad \rightarrow \quad \Psi \text { and } \Theta \mid \Psi
$$



Example: Hierarchical Gaussian process

- Sample $\Psi \sim R$
(large length-scale, mean 0 )
- Sample $\Theta \mid \Psi \sim Q(. \mid \Psi)$ (smaller length scale, mean $\Psi$ )

Decomposes underlying pattern:

- Low-frequency component $\Psi$

- High-frequency component $\Theta$


## HiERARCHICAL DIRICHLET PROCESS

## Sampling scheme

- Sample $G_{0} \sim \operatorname{DP}(\gamma, H)$
- Sample $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots \sim \operatorname{DP}\left(\alpha, G_{0}\right)$
- Sample $x_{i j} \sim G_{j}$

- $\Theta_{k}=$ finite probability (="topic")
- $C_{k}=$ occurence probability of topic $k$
- Document $j$ drawn from weighted combination of topics, with proportions $D_{l}^{j}$ ("admixture model")


## COVARIATE DEPENDENT MODELS

## Setting

- Solution (= pattern) depends on a covariate, e.g. time, space,...
- Example: Video segmentation


For each frame: Solution is a segmentation, i.e. a clustering
Covariate-dependent clustering

$$
M(., t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{k}(t) \delta_{\Theta_{k}(t)}(.)
$$

for each covariate value $t$.

## DEPENDENT DIRICHLET PROCESS

## Dependent Dirichlet process

Model functions $C: T \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\Theta: T \rightarrow \Omega_{\theta}$ with Gaussian processes.

1. Transform GP to have $\operatorname{Beta}(1, \alpha(t))$ marginal distribution for each $t$.
2. Sample functions $V_{1}(t), V_{2}(t), \ldots$ from this process.
3. $C_{k}(t):=V_{k}(t) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(1-V_{i}(t)\right)$

## Properties

- Marginal at $t$ is $\operatorname{DP}\left(\alpha(t), G_{t}\right)$ with Gaussian base measure $G_{t}$.
- Clustering solutions vary smoothly in $t$.

Covariate-dependent models: General theme

- Random object $\Psi \in \Omega_{\psi}$ with distribution $P$, covariate space $T$.
- Covariate-dependent $P$ : Distribution of random mapping $\hat{\Psi}: T \rightarrow \Omega_{\psi}$.


## EXAMPLES

| Applications | Pattern | Bayesian nonparametric model |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification \& regression | Function | Gaussian process |
| Clustering | Partition | Chinese restaurant process |
| Density estimation | Density | Dirichlet process mixture |
| Hierarchical clustering | Hierarchical partition | Dirichlet/Pitman-Yor diffusion tree, <br>  <br> Kingman's coalescent, Nested CRP <br> Latent variable modelling <br> Survival analysis |
| Features | Beta process/Indian buffet process |  |
| Power-law behaviour | Hazard | Beta process, Neutral-to-the-right process |
| Dictionary learning | Dictionary | Pitman-Yor process, Stable-beta process |
| Dimensionality reduction | Manifold | Beta process/Indian buffet process |
| Deep learning | Features | Gaussian process latent variable model |
| Topic models | Atomic distribution | Cascading/nested Indian buffet process |
| Time series |  | Infinite HMM Dical Dirichlet process |
| Sequence prediction | Conditional probs | Sequence memoizer |
| Reinforcement learning | Conditional probs | infinite POMDP |
| Spatial modelling | Functions | Gaussian process, |
|  |  | dependent Dirichlet process |
| Relational modelling |  | Infinite relational model, infinite hidden |
|  |  | relational model, Mondrian process |

REPRESENTATIONS

## DENSITY REPRESENTATIONS

## Densities

$$
P(d x)=p(x) \lambda(d x) \quad P(A)=\int_{A} p(x) \lambda(d x)
$$

We call $\lambda$ the carrier measure and $p$ the density of $P$ w.r.t. $\lambda$.

## Useful carrier measures

- $\lambda$ should be translation-invariant.
- Such measures exist only on certain spaces, roughly speaking: On finite-dimensional spaces.


## Consequence: Representation problem 1

- Nonparametric models: No useful carrier measure on parameter space.
- We have to find alternatives to density representation.


## The Bayes Equation

## Bayesian model: General case

Prior distribution $Q$, likelihood $P[X \in . \mid \Theta]$, posterior $Q[\Theta \in . \mid X=x]$
Bayes' Theorem
If the posterior has a density w.r.t. the prior for each $x$, then

$$
Q[d \theta \mid X=x]=\frac{d Q[\cdot \mid X=x]}{d Q(.)} Q(d \theta)=\frac{d P[X \in \cdot \mid \theta]}{d P(X \in .)}(x) Q(d \theta)
$$

The "Bayes equation" is a density of the posterior with respect to the prior.
Representation Problem 2

- For many nonparametric models, this density cannot exist for all $x$.
- Such models are called undominated.
- Random discrete measure models are generally undominated.

In other words:
NPB models do not generally satisfy Bayes' theorem.

## Gaussian Processes

## Nonparametric regression

Patterns $=$ continuous functions, say on $[a, b]$ :

$$
\theta:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mathcal{T}=C[a, b]
$$

## Recall definition



$$
\Theta \sim \mathrm{GP} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(\Theta\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, \Theta\left(s_{d}\right)\right) \quad \text { is } d \text {-dimensional Gaussian }
$$

for any finite set $S \subset[a, b]$.
Construction: Intuition

- The marginal of the GP for any finite $S \subset[a, b]$ is a Gaussian.
- All these Gaussians are marginals of each other.
- Conversely: If we start with such Gaussians for all $S$, do they define a GP?

They do. The theorems which guarantee this are called extension theorems or projective limit theorems.

## Constructing Random Measures

## Idea

- GP: We have constructed a random function $\Theta$.
- If $\Theta$ is a random measure, can we construct it in a similar way?


## Extension theorem

- For a finite partition $I=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{d}\right)$ of $V$, suppose we know the distribution $P_{\mathrm{I}}$ of $\left(\Theta\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, \Theta\left(A_{d}\right)\right)$.
- If the $P_{\mathrm{I}}$ for all partitions $I$ are projective (= are marginals of each other), they define a unique random
 measure $\Theta$ on $V$.


## Example: DP

Choose $P_{\mathrm{I}}$ as Dirichlet distribution with parameters $\alpha$ and $\left(G_{0}\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, G_{0}\left(A_{d}\right)\right)$. Then $\Theta \sim \operatorname{DP}\left(\alpha, G_{0}\right)$.

## REPRESENTATIONS

## Stick-breaking

- Simple; most widely used where applicable.
- Constructive.
- Available only for few models (DP, Pitman-Yor process, normalized inverse Gaussian process, beta process).


## Projective limits

- Generally applicable.
- Mathematically more challenging, many open problems.


## Representations by known stochastic processes

- E.g. Lévy process and Poisson process representations.
- Often come with a useful set of theoretical tools.


## Computing Posteriors

Conjugate models

- How can we compute a posterior without a Bayes equation?
- Virtually all NPB models (DP, GP, etc) are conjugate.

Functional vs structural conjugacy
Functional conjugacy: There is a mapping
prior hyperparameter $\times$ data $\mapsto$ posterior hyperparameter
Structural conjugacy: Closure under sampling, but no functional conjugacy.
Example
Neutral-to-the-right processes are structurally but not functionally conjugate.
Constructing conjugate models

- In hierarchical models: Use conjugate components.
- Roughly: Projective limits of fct. conjugate marginals are fct. conjugate.


## EXCHANGEABILITY

## Motivation

## Can we justify our assumptions?

Recall:

$$
\text { data }=\text { pattern }+ \text { noise }
$$

In Bayes' theorem:

$$
Q\left(d \theta \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} p\left(x_{j} \mid \theta\right)}{p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)} Q(d \theta)
$$



## Exchangeability

$X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are exchangeable if $P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is invariant under any permutation $\sigma$ :

$$
P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, \ldots\right)=P\left(X_{1}=x_{\sigma(1)}, X_{2}=x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots\right)
$$

In words:
Order of observations does not matter.

## Exchangeability and Conditional Independence

## De Finetti's Theorem

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, \ldots\right)=\int_{M(\mathcal{X})}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta\left(X_{j}=x_{j}\right)\right) Q(d \theta) \\
\Uparrow \\
X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \text { exchangeable }
\end{gathered}
$$

where:

- $M(\mathcal{X})$ is the set of probability measures on $\mathcal{X}$
- $\theta$ are values of a random probability measure $\Theta$ with distribution $Q$


## Implications

- Exchangeable data decomposes into pattern and noise
- More general than i.i.d.-assumption
- Caution: $\theta$ is in general an $\infty$-dimensional quantity


## Exchangeability: Random Partitions

## Paint-box distribution

- Weights $s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots \geq 0$ with $\sum s_{j} \leq 1$
- $U_{1}, U_{2}, \cdots \sim \operatorname{Uniform}[0,1]$

Random partition of $\mathbb{N}$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
i, j \in \mathbb{N} \text { in same block } & \Leftrightarrow U_{i}, U_{j} \text { in same interval } \\
\{i\} \text { separate block } & \Leftrightarrow U_{i} \text { in interval } 1-\sum s_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Kingman's Theorem

Random partition $\pi$ of $\mathbb{N}$ exchangeable

$$
\text { Mixture of paint-boxes } \beta(. \mid \mathbf{s}): \quad P(\pi)=\int \beta(\pi \mid \mathbf{s}) Q(d \mathbf{s})
$$

## Exchangeability: Random Graphs

Random graph with independent edges
Given: $\quad \theta:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1] \quad$ symmetric function

- $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots \sim$ Uniform $[0,1]$
- Edge $(i, j)$ present:


$$
(i, j) \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}\left(\theta\left(U_{i}, U_{j}\right)\right)
$$

Call this distribution $P(\mathcal{G} \mid \theta)$.

## Aldous-Hoover Theorem

Random graph $\mathcal{G}$ exchangeable

$$
P(\mathcal{G})=\int_{\mathcal{T}}^{\hat{\mathbb{}}} P(\mathcal{G} \mid \theta) Q(d \theta)
$$



## General Theme: Symmetry

## Other types of exchangeable data

| Data | Theorem | Mixture of... | Applications |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Points | de Finetti | I.i.d. point sequences | "Standard" models |
| Sequences | Diaconis-Freedman | Markov chains | Time series |
| Partition | Kingman | "Paint-box" partitions | Clustering |
| Graphs | Aldous-Hoover | Graphs with independent edges | Networks |
| Arrays | Aldous-Hoover | Arrays with independent entries | Collaborative filtering |

Ergodic decomposition theorems

$$
\mu(X)=\int_{\Omega} \mu[X \mid \Phi=\phi] \nu(\phi)
$$

- Symmetry (group invariance) on lhs $\longrightarrow$ Integral decomposition on rhs
- Permutation invariance on lhs $\longrightarrow$ Independence on rhs


## ASYMPTOTICS

## Support of Priors

$P_{0}$ outside model: misspecified


## Support of Nonparametric Priors

## Large support

- Support of nonparametric priors is larger ( $\infty$-dimensional) than of parametric priors (finite-dimensional).
- However: No uniform prior (or even "neutral" improper prior) exists on $M(\mathcal{X})$.


## Interpretation of nonparametric prior assumptions

Concentration of nonparametric prior on subset of $M(\mathcal{X})$ typically represents structural prior assumption.

- GP regression with unknown bandwidth:
- Any continuous function possible
- Prior can express e.g. "very smooth functions are more probable"
- Clustering: Expected number of clusters is...
- ...small $\longrightarrow$ CRP prior
- ...power law $\longrightarrow$ two-parameter CRP


## Posterior Consistency

## Definition 1 (weak consistency of Bayesian models)

Suppose we sample $P_{0}=P_{\theta_{0}}$ from the prior and generate data from $P_{0}$. If the posterior converges to $\delta_{\theta_{0}}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ with probability one under the prior, the model is called consistent.

## Doob's Theorem

Under very mild conditions, Bayesian models are consistent in the weak sense.

## Problem

- Definition holds up to a set of probability zero under the prior.
- This set can be huge and is a prior assumption.


Definition 2 (frequentist consistency of Bayesian models)
A Bayesian model is consistent at $P_{0}$ if the posterior converges to $\delta_{P_{0}}$ with growing sample size.

## Convergence Rates

## Objective

How quickly does posterior concentrate at $\theta_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?
Measure: Convergence rate

- Find smallest balls $B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for which

$$
Q\left(B_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1
$$

- Rate $=$ sequence $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots$

The best we can hope for


- Optimal rate is $\varepsilon_{n} \propto n^{-1 / 2}$
- Given by optimal convergence of estimators
- Achieved in smooth parametric models


## Technical tools

Sieves, covering number, metric entropies... $\longrightarrow$ familiar from learning theory!

## Asymptotics: Sample Results

## Consistency

- DP mixtures: Consistent in many cases. No blanket statements.
- Range of consistency results for GP regression


## Convergence rates: Example

Bandwidth adaptation with GPs:

- True parameter $\theta_{0} \in C^{\alpha}[0,1]^{d}$, smoothness $\alpha$ unknown
- With gamma prior on GP bandwidth:

Convergence rate is $n^{-\alpha /(2 \alpha+d)}$

## Bernstein-von Mises Theorems

- Class of theorems establishing that posterior is asymptotically normal.
- Available for Gaussian processes and various regression settings.
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